

SCOTT & YALLERY-ARTHUR  
7306 Georgia Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20012  
(202) 882-5770

RECEIVED

FEB 04 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Date January 23, 2004 Page 1 of 1

SEND TO: NAME: R. Chin

FIRM: Patents and Trademark Office

FAX: (703) 872-9306 PHONE: (703) 308-1613

SENT BY: NAME: Nigel L. Scott, Esquire  
S&Y-A FAX: (202) 722-0040

SUBJECT: \_\_\_\_\_

COMMENTS: \_\_\_\_\_

OFFICIAL  
RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 23 2004

ORIGINAL WILL:  Follow Via Mail  
 Follow Via Messenger  
 Follow Via Overnight

This fax is intended for the exclusive use of the addressee named above, and may contain legally privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this fax is strictly prohibited.

If you received this fax in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original fax to us at the above address via the United States Postal Service. We will reimburse any costs you incur in notifying us and returning the fax to us.

P. 17  
SCOTT AND VALLEY - ARTHUR  
7206 GEORGIA AVENUE, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20012  
202-882-6770

EXPLANATION	AMOUNT

15-3640  
BRANCH 15  
**12086**

PAY	Fifty-five and 00/100
TO THE ORDER OF	Constitution of West
DATE	1/23/04
PIGGS NATIONAL BANK OF WASHINGTON, D.C.	
WASHINGTON, D.C.	

*Michael Scott*  
SCOTT AND VALLEY-ARTHUR

10120866 10:54:00000 300C 10113 94 1P

In re patent application of Edward T. Buford, III  
Serial No. 09/912,692  
Filed: 7/26/2001

This acknowledges receipt of the following:

- First Amendment  
 Revised Drawing  
 Fee in the amount of \$55.00 Check No. 12086

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the Applicant's Response to First Office Action (First Amendment) was mailed to the United States Department of Commerce Patents and Trademarks, Office Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20031 this 23rd day January, 2004.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Nigel L. Scott , Esquire

H:\...\nigel\prop\buford\buford2.eml

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#9

In re: Patent Application of Edward T. Buford, III

Serial No: 09/912,692

Group Art Unit: 3747

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Filed: 7/26/2001

Examiner: R. Chin

JAN 23 2004

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20321

RECEIVED

FEB 04 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

OFFICIAL

Please accept the Applicant's Response to First Office Action (First Amendment) along with  
Request for Extension of Time and Check # 12086 for \$55.00 filing fee in the above action.

Respectfully Submitted,

NIGEL L. SCOTT, Esquire  
Pat. Bar # 27,385  
Attorney for Applicant  
7306 Georgia Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20012-1617  
(202) 882-5770  
(202) 722-0040 Fax

H:\NIGEL\Intellectual property\BUFORD\09.912\letter.wpd

Accordingly it is respectfully requested that the Response to the First Office Action and  
Amendment to the Claims be accepted for filing.

Respectfully Submitted,



NIGEL L. SCOTT, Esquire  
Pat. Bar # 27,385  
Attorney for Applicant  
7306 Georgia Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20012-1617  
(202) 882-5770  
(202) 722-0040 Fax

H:\NIGEL\Intellectual property\BUFORD\09.912\Request for Enlargement of Time.wpd

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Patent Application of Edward T. Buford, III

Serial No: 09/912,692

Group Art Unit: 3747

Filed: 7/26/2001

Examiner: R. Chin

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20321

Sir:

**RESPONSE TO FIRST OFFICE ACTION  
(FIRST AMENDMENT)**

This is in response to the First Office Action mailed September 30, 2003 in respect of the above-identified patent application:

**SPECIFICATION**

Based upon the discussions between Applicant and counsel, the two drawings labeled "4A" and "5A" are now considered unnecessary for the allowance of the invention. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that drawings "4A" and "5A" be withdrawn.

Additionally, in light of the withdrawal of drawings "4A" and "5A" no changes will be made to the Specification.

**CLAIMS**

In the subject Office Action, claims 1-5 and claim 8 of the application have been rejected based upon claims 35 USC 112. Claims 1-5 have also been rejected based upon